Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/550,917	LAPLANTE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert E. Fuller	3672
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Robert E. Fuller.	(3)	
(2) <u>Richard Tushin</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 June 2006</u>	Time: <u>11:00 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: Claims 20-32.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/550,917

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The application was in condition for allowance pending the resolution of some informalities with respect to the specification, abstract, and claims. These informalities included an erroneous reference number in the specification, the use of "means" and "said" in the abstract, inconsistent or improper preambles in claims 20-32, lack of antecedent basis in claims 24-26, improper dependency in claim 32, and a spelling error in claim 32. An examiner's amendment was prepared containing a detailed description of the changes agreed upon by the applicant.